

1 E. MARTIN ESTRADA
2 United States Attorney
3 CAMERON L. SCHROEDER
4 Assistant United States Attorney
5 Chief, National Security Division
6 SOLOMON KIM (Cal. Bar No. 311466)
7 KATHRYNNE N. SEIDEN (Cal. Bar No. 310902)
8 Assistant United States Attorneys
9 Terrorism and Export Crimes Section
10 1500 United States Courthouse
11 312 North Spring Street
12 Los Angeles, California 90012
13 Telephone: (213) 894-2450
14 Facsimile: (213) 894-0141
15 E-mail: solomon.kim@usdoj.gov
16 kathrynne.seiden@usdoj.gov

17 Attorneys for Plaintiff
18 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

20 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

21 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. CR 18-759-CJC

22 Plaintiff,

[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL
DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING
EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT
TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

23 v.

24 ROBERT RUNDO,
25 ROBERT BOMAN,
26 AARON EASON, and
27 TYLER LAUBE,

Defendants.

[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE: 03/26/2024
[PROPOSED] PRETRIAL
CONFERENCE: 03/18/2024
[PROPOSED] DSIPositive
MOTIONS
HEARING: 02/26/2024

28 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding
29 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of
30 Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the
31 parties in this matter on September 25, 2023. The Court hereby finds
32 that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into
33 this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the

1 trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of
2 excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

3 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by
4 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and
5 defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance
6 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,
7 or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the
8 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel
9 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for
10 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
11 diligence.

12 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

13 1. The trial in this matter is continued to March 26, 2024.
14 The Pretrial Conference is continued to March 18, 2024.

15 2. A motion schedule is set as follows:

16 a. Dispositive motions (including any motion to dismiss
17 or motion to suppress): motions to be filed by January 8, 2024;
18 oppositions to be filed by January 29, 2024; replies to be filed by
19 February 5, 2024; and any motions hearing on February 26, 2024.

20 b. Non-dispositive motions (including any motion in
21 limine): motions to be filed by February 26, 2024; oppositions to be
22 filed by March 4, 2024; replies to be filed by March 11, 2024; and
23 any motions hearing on March 18, 2024.

24 3. The time period from December 12, 2023 to March 26, 2024,
25 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial
26 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),
27 and (B)(iv).

1 4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other
2 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time
3 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must
4 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of
5 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of
6 additional time periods from the period within which trial must
7 commence.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9
10 DATE

11 THE HONORABLE CORMAC J. CARNEY
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

13
14 Presented by:

15 /s/
16 SOLOMON KIM
17 KATHRYNNE N. SEIDEN
18 Assistant United States Attorneys